

REPORT OF ADAM HILL, CHIEF EXECUTIVE

ADDENDUM TO ITEM 3: LOCAL GOVERNMENT REORGANISATION IN NOTTINGHAM AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE

Purpose of Addendum

1. The purpose of this Addendum is to advise Members of revisions to the implementation plan section of the proposal for local government reorganisation (see appendix A for detail of the revised sections).
2. At the time of issuing the original report to Council regarding the above item, it was intended that a draft structural changes order (SCO) would be appended to the draft proposal for reorganisation as Appendix K.
3. It has not yet been possible to finalise a draft SCO or resolve a position on the legal vehicle for transition due to the complexities of the implementation within the local context that are now understood to be the case. This relates to the proposal involving an existing unitary council and an upper tier county council, and the disaggregation and aggregation that would be required. The timetable for implementation in the full proposal remains as currently envisaged.
4. In order to ensure the report to Council reflects this updated position, amendments have been made to the original proposal and are shown in the attached Appendix A to this addendum.
5. Officers will continue to work with external legal advisors on refining a legal approach to implementation which aligns with the features outlined in the implementation plan, with the intention of exploring the benefits of this approach with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG), so that it can inform the SCO developed and put in place for Nottingham and Nottinghamshire.
6. Paragraph 3.31 in Agenda item 3 should now read: *A key section detailed within the proposal is an implementation plan which sets out the phases of activity required between submission of the proposal on 28 November 2025 through to vesting day of the new councils on 1 April 2028. There is real complexity in the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire context that needs to be considered when designing the approach to implementation: an existing unitary Council under best value intervention, differential performance in statutory services and two-tier local government. The implementation plan that has been proposed intends to minimise risk to Nottinghamshire residents, particularly those vulnerable children and adults in receipt of statutory services, as well as maximise the potential to deliver an efficient and effective transition that meets the Government and Mayor's expected*

timescales for implementation and brings forwards financial efficiencies to contribute towards the financial sustainability of the future councils. This refers to the Governance structure and drafting of the Structural Change Order on page 137 of the proposal.

Legal Comments

7. It is anticipated that government will draft an SCO in due course for Nottingham and Nottinghamshire. SCOs are usually based upon either the creation of new unitary authorities or the conversion of an existing council into a unitary authority.
8. The amendments to the originally published proposal clarify the current position with the development of a draft SCO and its effect on the proposed implementation of local government reorganisation.

Page 111 of the proposal

Revised sentence:

In addition, as we develop our approach to implementing the Greater Nottinghamshire proposal there may be the potential to save £550k from the one off LGR transition costs.

Page 137 of the proposal

Revised Section:

Governance Structure

There is real complexity in our current operating circumstances, with a unitary Council under Best Value intervention, differential performance in statutory services and two tier local government. As such, in our implementation planning, we have given careful thought to how best to minimise risk to our residents, particularly those vulnerable children and adults in receipt of statutory services, and how best to guarantee an efficient and effective transition.

We believe we know our area and our context best. During our work with MHCLG on our devolution programme, we successfully and effectively worked with officials on drafting the secondary legislation that brought the first ever Combined County Authority into force. Learning from this, we have started work with Browne Jacobson to explore a potential legal route to implementation, for future discussion with MHCLG, which recognises our local context. This is with the intention of developing a Structural Changes Order for MHCLG to consider.

We strongly believe that reorganisation should happen in a way that minimises risk and cost to residents. For that reason, we are exploring the optimal legal vehicles for the creation of the Nottinghamshire Council and the Nottingham Council that create two 'new' unitary councils through this process, with new political and managerial leadership, new operating models, minimised disruption to services and new ways of working as next-generation unitary councils.

We believe that there is merit in exploring a novel approach with MHCLG. Our external legal advice has indicated that this approach could still be lawful and consistent with current legislation (see in particular the breadth of section 11, 12 and 15 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007).

Our aim, through the implementation approach being explored, would be to:

- **Avoid additional transition costs** through an efficient approach to transition
- **Streamline the transition process** for workforce and services between all authorities, assuring us around pace of delivery
- **Reduce friction** during the transition for contracts, procurement, and alternative delivery methods
- **Ensure clarity** of governance during transition around statutory services and for the new Councils, meaning that effective decision-making can be built in from the start with strong accountability

Page 130 of the proposal

Revised sentence:

The initial TPT will divide following the MHCLG decision into two teams across the two proposed authorities, who will work together to coordinate activity. This will involve representatives from all councils for each respective unitary boundary

Page 143 of the proposal

Revised paragraph:

Approach to implementation

In terms of structural change, we wish to explore with MHCLG a more novel approach to implementation as set out in the governance structure section of the implementation plan. Our aim is to make the transition from nine councils to two, simpler, more efficient and less costly. In order to manage risk in decision making during transition, we would wish to explore how this can be reflected in governance of the transition as defined in the SCO. This will help limit the exposure of our programme and our residents to risk and increase confidence of deliverability to the timetable.

Page 147 of the proposal

Revised sentence:

Section 8. Planning for implementation and wider public service reform references how we propose to reduce transition costs through an efficient legal vehicle.

Base Template

This sheet can be copied (between the two 'Sum>>' sheets) to enable you to build up a scenario from a number of working sheets.

Comparison model	Source	Units	Year	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	
				Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	
				1 April 26 to 31 March 27	1 April 27 to 31 March 28	1 April 28 to 31 March 29	1 April 29 to 31 March 30	1 April 30 to 31 March 31	1 April 31 to 31 March 32	1 April 32 to 31 March 33	1 April 33 to 31 March 34	1 April 34 to 31 March 35	1 April 35 to 31 March 36	1 April 36 to 31 March 37	1 April 37 to 31 March 38
				Total Year 0											
				to Year 11											

Option/Component/Scenario

Header inputs		
County	Nottinghamshire (Counties)	
Council names and type of councils	Nottingham City, Broxtowe and Gedling	
Component	South Option 1b - Prior to further transformation scenarios	
Scenario	Option 1b with Transformation Scenario A	
Author		
Version		
Date		
Short description/comment		Further Description if required.

Financial information

Set up Transitional Costs (without inflation) £000s

	Details of source files or reference to meeting or document can be recorded herefor each cost line	
	Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 (See transition costs and % P16) Has % and a breakdown of costs. See sheet Transition Costs South Working.	
Employee costs		
Premises		
Transport		
Supplies and Services		
ICT		
Third Party Payments		
Income		
Contingency		
Other (please specify in commentary)		
Total		

Annual On-going Incremental costs (without inflation) £000s

Employee costs		
Premises		
Transport		
Supplies and Services		
ICT		
Third Party Payments		
Income		
Contingency		
Other (please specify in commentary)		
Total		

Annual On-going Incremental benefits/savings (without inflation) £000s

	Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 Slide 17. See sheet Agg Benefits South Workings.	
Employee costs		
Premises		
Transport		
Supplies and Services	Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 Slide 17	
ICT		
Third Party Payments	Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 Slide 17	
Income		
Contingency		
Other (please specify in commentary)		
Total		

-ve is a net savings +ve is a net cost.

See P17

Accumulated Cost	4,300	8,599	12,899	14,332	14,332										
Accumulated Benefit	(2,119)	(5,651)	(12,716)	(19,780)	(26,845)										
Total Cumulative Net Benefit	2,180	2,948	183	(5,448)	(12,512)										
Check Total Zero	2180	2948	183	-5448	-12512	Check total P20 of 56 total Cumulative net benefit									
	(0)	0	(0)	(0)	0										

(233,342)

Base Template

This sheet can be copied (between the two 'Sum>>' sheets) to enable you to build up a scenario from a number of working sheets.

Comparison model Source Units Year

Year 0 Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10
Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast

1 April 26 to 1 April 27 to 1 April 28 to 1 April 29 to 1 April 30 to 1 April 31 to 1 April 32 to 1 April 33 to 1 April 34 to 1 April 35 to 1 April 36 to 1 April 37 to Total Year 0
31 March 27 31 March 28 31 March 29 31 March 30 31 March 31 31 March 32 31 March 33 31 March 34 31 March 35 31 March 36 31 March 37 31 March 38 to Year 11

Option/Component/Scenario

Header inputs

County

Council names and type of councils

Component

Scenario

Author

Version

Date

Short description/comment

Nottinghamshire (Counties)

Ashfield, Bassetlaw, Mansfield, Newark and Sherwood, Rushcliffe

North Option 1b - Prior to further transformation scenarios
Option 1b with Transformation Scenario A

This part of the model sets out the re-organisation case under option 1B. Staffing: Benefits from reduction in duplicated roles as a result of the re-organisation.

Financial information

Set up Transitional Costs (without inflation)

£000s

Enter the incremental transitional and ongoing costs and benefits in the relevant section below - column M to W

Sign convention - additional costs or loss of income are +ve. Record figures in £ 000's.

Employee costs

Premises

Transport

Supplies and Services

ICT

Third Party Payments

Income

Contingency

Other (please specify in commentary)

Details of source files or reference to meeting or document can be recorded herefor each cost line

Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 (See transition costs and % profile P16) Has % and a breakdown of costs. See sheet Transition costs North Workings.

			3,354	3,354	3,354	1,118	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,181
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			400	400	400	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,334
			358	358	358	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,193
			1,281	1,281	1,281	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,270
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			1,016	1,016	1,016	339	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,388
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Total - - 6,410 6,410 6,410 2,137 - - - - - - 21,366

Annual On-going Incremental costs (without inflation)

£000s

Sign convention - additional costs or loss of income are +ve.

Employee costs

Premises

Transport

Supplies and Services

ICT

Third Party Payments

Income

Contingency

Other (please specify in commentary)

							-						-
													-
													-
													-
													-
													-
													-
													-

Total - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Annual On-going Incremental benefits/savings (without inflation)

£000s

Sign convention - Savings - lower costs or gains of income are -ve in brackets.

Employee costs

Premises

Transport

Supplies and Services

ICT

Third Party Payments

Income

Contingency

Other (please specify in commentary)

Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 Slide 17, profile slide 18. See sheet Agg Benefits North Worksheet.

Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 Slide 17, profile slide 18

Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Councils Financial Case Oct 25 Slide 17, profile slide 18

			(4,327)	(7,211)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(14,423)	(126,922)
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			(756)	(1,260)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(2,520)	(22,180)
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			(1,969)	(3,282)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(6,565)	(57,772)
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Total - - (7,053) (11,754) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (206,874)

-ve is a net savings +ve is a net cost.

Grand Total - - (643) (5,344) (17,099) (21,372) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (23,508) (185,508)

(233,342)

See P17

Accumulated Cost 6,410 12,820 19,230 21,366 21,366
Accumulated Benefit (7,053) (18,807) (42,315) (65,823) (89,332)
Total Cumulative Net Benefit (643) (5,987) (23,086) (44,457) (67,966)

67965 Check total